Interview Summary	Application No. 08/917,044	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s) Fehn		
	Examiner		Group Art Unit		
	Jennifer Hayes		1772		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):	·			
(1) Jennifer Hayes					
(2) Eugene Friedman					
Date of Interview					
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes	☐ applicant		resentative).		
Exhibit shown of demonstration conducted.	23 140. 11 yes, oner d				
Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: All Identification of prior art discussed: Bright, Yano et al., Cushing et al., Mehta, and Moore et al. Description of the general nature of what was agreed to an interpreted where there is no PCR as supported by the state applicant argued that human substances are not required. Response. The term "substantially continuous" is as definiterpreted to mean no gaps or discontinuities in contrast distinguish the invention Mr. Friedman agreed to amend a phraseto impede migration of PCR contaminants at the line 3 of claim 44.	if an agreement was ims 1 and 3-22 based specification on page ired and will submit a ned as on page 6 of to "substantially conclaim 2 and independent	on the determents in the specification of the speci	any other comment rmination that a biginal claims. The this regard in an etion and "continue an effort to furth B and 44 by insert	oarrier layer is e Attorney for Official ous" is er define and ting the	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenthe claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no dis available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
1. 🗵 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the sul	ostance of th	ie interview.		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action h FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT O	LUDE THE SUBSTANG las already been filed,	CE OF THE II	NTERVIEW. (See IS GIVEN ONE N	MPEP	
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachm	nents) reflect	s a complete resp	oonse to	

Ellis Robinson
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office actiechnology Center 1700

is also checked.

each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above